

OI-JB-P2-006: The Right Proof in the Wrong File Is Still Wrong

Canonical Precedent #006 - TargetDoc Misrouting - Prompt-to-Authority Issue Card - Public Edition v1.1

The right proof in the wrong file is still wrong.

Case Snapshot

CASE ID	OI-JB-P2-006
CARD TYPE	Prompt-to-Authority Issue Card
PUBLIC STATUS	Canonical public precedent - Public Edition v1.1
FAILURE CLASS	TargetDoc Misrouting
DECISION	FAIL

Public Summary

This case explains why AI governance cannot trust useful material merely because it exists. The proof may be real and the artifact may exist, but if the proof is attached to the wrong identity, the judgment chain breaks.

Orange exists to keep every proof attached to the correct case, so public judgment is not built on broken ownership.

Core Judgment

FAIL: TargetDoc Misrouting.

A work item cannot be treated as closed when semantic material, evidence, or judgment is attached to the wrong artifact identity.

The failure is not merely a naming mismatch. The failure is loss of artifact identity and evidence ownership.

What Happened

The system recognized that a record needed to exist. But the authority requirement was not merely to produce useful material. The requirement was to bind the material to the exact case and public artifact it was meant to support.

When ownership breaks, even correct proof cannot safely authorize the intended claim.

Public Orange Judgment

ALLOWED	Attach proof to the correct case and artifact identity. Repair identity mismatch before public closure or release language.
FORBIDDEN	Treat useful material in the wrong artifact as valid proof for the intended case. Claim closure when evidence ownership cannot be determined.
REQUIRED	Verify that declared case identity, actual public artifact, title, body, proof ownership, and judgment boundary align before release language is used.

Public Intervention Boundary

Orange does not treat useful proof as portable authority. The proof must belong to the case, artifact, and public judgment it is being used to support.

Declared Case -> Artifact Identity -> Evidence Ownership -> Issue Card or Release Log

Public Intervention Steps

1	Parse the intended case and public artifact identity.
2	Inspect where the proof, semantic material, or public judgment actually appears.
3	Compare title, body, identity labels, and ownership boundary against the intended case.
4	Detect proof filed under the wrong case, wrong artifact, old artifact, or substitute artifact.
5	Block or narrow claims when evidence ownership is ambiguous or misrouted.
6	Require a corrected public artifact or Issue Card before release language is used.

Reusable Rule

Correct Proof + Wrong Artifact = Broken Evidence Ownership

Canonical form: the right proof in the wrong file is still wrong.

Precedent Value

This card establishes the sixth Prompt-to-Authority principle: evidence must belong to the correct artifact identity.

It protects Orange from becoming a system where records, proof, and public judgments exist, but the chain cannot prove which case owns which evidence.

Public Boundary Notice

This public card discloses the judgment principle, failure class, and public intervention boundary only. It does not disclose internal rule-compilation logic, executable gate implementation, evidence schema, negative-control library, automation workflow, customer-specific judgment memory, or private engineering records. The complete internal record is maintained separately by Nimclea Systems LLC.