

OI-JB-P2-010: Repair Authority Overreach

Canonical Precedent #010 - Repair Authority Overreach - Prompt-to-Authority Issue Card - Public Edition v1.1

Repair authority is not judgment authority.

Case Snapshot

CASE ID	OI-JB-P2-010
CARD TYPE	Prompt-to-Authority Issue Card
STATUS	Canonical Precedent #010 - Public Edition v1.1
FAILURE CLASS	Repair Authority Overreach
DECISION	FAIL

Public Summary

This case shows why AI automation must not let repair tools become hidden judges. A repair tool can fix the box. It cannot decide what the box proves. Orange exists to keep every authority layer in its lane.

Core Judgment

FAIL: Repair Authority Overreach.

A repair system may correct deterministic mechanical hygiene and structural defects within its delegated scope.

It must not synthesize semantic judgment, evidence sufficiency, claim boundary, closure authority, failure attribution, or precedent interpretation unless that authority is explicitly delegated and auditable.

The failure is unauthorized conversion of repair authority into judgment authority.

Public Orange Judgment

Allowed	Repair deterministic mechanical defects inside delegated scope, such as naming, formatting, routing, synchronization, and known structural hygiene.
Forbidden	Let a repair mechanism create missing judgment, evidence sufficiency, claim boundary, closure conclusion, failure attribution, precedent interpretation, or semantic content.
Required	Classify the defect as mechanical or semantic before repair. Preserve Writer, Judge, human decision, and release-authority boundaries. Route semantic gaps to authorized judgment or Issue Card.

Public Intervention Boundary

Orange converts a proposed repair into a repair-scope boundary check before the repair may support any forward movement.

Proposed Repair -> Scope Classification -> Semantic Boundary Check -> Issue Card or Release Log

1. Identify the repair target and the authority assumed by the repair.
2. Classify the defect as mechanical, semantic, or mixed before repair proceeds.
3. Permit deterministic repair only inside delegated mechanical scope.
4. Block repairs that create missing judgment, evidence, claim boundary, closure conclusion, attribution, or semantic content.
5. Produce an Issue Card when semantic authority is missing; produce a Release Log only when repair scope and evidence align.

Reusable Rule

Repair authority is not judgment authority.

Canonical consequence: Repair may restore shape. It may not invent judgment.

Precedent Value

This public card establishes the tenth Prompt-to-Authority principle: a repair mechanism may restore form, routing, hygiene, and deterministic structure, but it must not create judgment, evidence, claim boundary, closure meaning, or precedent interpretation without delegated semantic authority.

Orange must distinguish repair, judgment, semantic source, evidence sufficiency, failure attribution, and release authority. Only explicitly authorized semantic or judgment layers may create meaning-bearing decisions.

Public Boundary Notice

This public precedent card discloses Orange's public judgment principle, failure class, and intervention boundary. It does not disclose Orange's internal rule-compilation logic, executable gate implementation, evidence schema, negative-control library, automation workflow, customer-specific judgment memory, or private engineering records. The complete internal record is maintained separately by Nimclea Systems LLC.

© 2025-2026 Nimclea Systems LLC. This public case record is part of the Orange Precedent Library.